

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 NEW DE 03154 021441Z

53

ACTION NEA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 PM-04 L-03 NSC-05 CIAE-00 DODE-00

INR-07 NSAE-00 PA-01 USIA-06 PRS-01 SP-02 /040 W

----- 088867

R 021235Z MAR 76

FM AMEMBASSY NEW DELHI

TO AMCONSUL MADRAS

INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 4372

AMCONSUL BOMBAY

AMCONSUL CALCUTTA

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE NEW DELHI 3154

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PINT, IN

SUBJ: KARUNANIDHI FILES PETITION AGAINST GOI

1. WE ARE POUCHING TO MADRAS ONLY A COPY OF 100-PAGE PETITION FILED ON BEHOLF OF FORMER TAMIL NADU CHIEF MINISTER KARUNANIDHI CHALLENGING ON GROUNDS OF MALA FIDES THE VALIDITY OF THE PROCLAMATION OF PRESIDENT'S RULE IN TAMIL NADU. THE PETITION IS DATED FEB. 25 AND WAS FILED IN THE DELHI HIGH COURT. IT SEEKS TO REFUTE THE GROUNDS FOR THE PROCLAMATION THROUGH EXTENSIVE DOCUMENTATION RANGING FROM ELECTION STATISTICS TO PAS SPEECHES BY GOVERNOR SHAH (WHO IS THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PRESIDENT IN THE STATE) COMPLIMENTING DMK PROGRAMS. GOVERNMENT LAWYERS ARE ARGUING IN COURT THAT ARTICLE 356 OF THE CONSTITUTION HOLDS THAT PRESIDENT MAY ISSUE A PROCLAMATION OF EMERGENCY IF HE "IS SATISFIED THAT A SITUATION HAS ARISEN IN WHICH THE GOVERNMENT OF THE STATE CANNOT BE CARRIED ON IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION." THIS REQUIREMENT WAS CLEARLY SATISFIED, THEY CLAIM. GOVERNMENT LAWYERS ARE ALSO POINTING TO THE 38TH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION PASSED AFTER THE PROCLAMATION OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY LAST JUNE WHICH STATES THAT "THE SATISFACTION OF THE PRESIDENT" MENTIONED

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 NEW DE 03154 021441Z

IN ARTICLE 356 "SHALL BE FINAL AND CONCLUSIVE AND SHALL

NOT BE QUESTIONED IN ANY COURT ON ANY GROUND." HOWEVER,
IT IS STILL POSSIBLE THAT THE DELHI HIGH COURT WILL
AGREE TO HEAR KARUNANIDHI'S CASE.

2. FOR MADRAS: WE HAVE HEARD THE MADRAS HIGH COURT HAS
ACCEPTED AT LEAST ONE WRIT PETITION CHALLENGING THE
VALIDITY OF PRESIDENT'S RULE IN TAMIL NADU. WE WOULD
APPRECIATE RECEIVING ANY INFORMATION YOU MAY BE ABLE TO
OBTAIN ON THE STATUS OF THIS OR OTHER CASES ACCEPTED BY
THE MADRAS HIGH COURT.
SAXBE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: LITIGATION, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT, EMERGENCY PLANNING, CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS, COURT PROCEEDINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 02 MAR 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: saccheem
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976NEWDE03154
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760079-0384
From: NEW DELHI
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t197603104/aaaadnsx.tel
Line Count: 72
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION NEA
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: saccheem
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 JUL 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 JUL 2004 by ullricre>; APPROVED <05 OCT 2004 by saccheem>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: KARUNANIDHI FILES PETITION AGAINST GOI
TAGS: PINT, IN, (KARUNANIDHI)
To: MADRAS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006